Case: 1:17-md-02804-DAP Doc #: 3862-16 Filed: 08/17/21 1 of 13. PageID #: 532144

SJ-EXHIBIT 13

```
Page 1
                UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
1
 2
                  NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
 3
                       EASTERN DIVISION
                             * * *
 4
 5
6
     IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION
             OPIATE LITIGATION
7
     This document relates to:
8
     THE COUNTY OF LAKE, OHIO,
9
     et al. V. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., MDL No. 2804
     et al., Case No. 18-op-45032
10
     THE COUNTY OF TRUMBULL, OHIO, CASE No. 17-md-2804
11
     et al., v. Purdue Pharma L.P.,
     et al., Case No. 18-op-45079
12
     Case Track 3
13
14
15
16
                  Remote Videotaped Deposition of
     TREY EDWARDS, a witness herein, called by the
17
18
     defendants for examination pursuant to the
     Rules of Civil Procedure, taken before me,
19
     Patti Stachler, RMR, CRR, a Notary Public
20
2.1
     within and for the State of Ohio, at Mentor,
     Ohio, on December 11, 2020, at 9:01 a.m.
2.2
23
24
2.5
```

Page 147 understand these reports a little bit. 1 2. When you went out to do inspections of pharmacies, did you refresh your 3 memory regarding the prior inspection of that 4 5 pharmacy, whether you did it or somebody else did it? 6 7 I oftentimes -- I don't know if I did it every time, but often I would. 8 9 0. Okay. Do you recall specifically 10 inspecting Giant Eagle Pharmacies in Lake and Trumbull County? 11 12 Α. I recall, yes. 13 0. On a general basis, do you recall 14 the inspections generally being favorable in 15 terms of Giant Eagle's pharmacies were doing 16 what they were supposed to be doing under the 17 Board rules? 18 Α. Yes, generally. 19 Is that true of the other pharmacy 20 defendants, did they -- did their inspections, 21 to your knowledge, generally -- were they 22 generally favorable from the Board's 23 perspective? 24 Α. Yes. 25 When you did the inspections and, O.

Page 157

Q. -- is it you just got to read it and --

2.

- A. No, it's either corrective action required or not.
- Q. All right. So after you identified four issues and Giant Eagle responded, were you satisfied your inspection issues had been addressed and, therefore, the inspection was a successful one as resolved?
 - A. I believe so, yes.
- Q. Okay. Did that happen often with the Giant Eagle Pharmacies, that you would find items that you wanted follow-up on, they would follow up on, and the inspection would be fine?
- A. Yes. I can't say it happened often or not often, I don't recall how often it happened. But my recollection is that I don't recall any instances where an issue was not resolved.
- Q. All right. Go to the next inspection report at store 1225 on 5/19 of '09. And I see compared to the prior inspection report you got different items circled. So on this inspection of this Giant Eagle store, you decided to inspect in certain areas that were

Page 166 sometimes our inspections resulted from a 1 2. complaint, for instance, an error, and then we would go in and check -- you know, check what 3 the person was telling us and see what 4 5 happened. So I'm not sure in this case if I identified that during the inspection or if 6 7 that was something that came into us as a 8 complaint which then prompted the inspection. 9 0. All right. And on page 2587 10 there's -- the Giant Eagle pharmacist responds, 11 right, explaining what happened with the 12 improper dispensing? 13 Do you see that? 14 Α. Yes. 2587? 15 Q. 16 Α. Yes. 17 It says, The error occurred at Q. data entry but was missed at data verification. 18 19 And then it explains the new workflow system 20 including a 7-point check. 21 Was that an adequate resolution of 2.2 this issue in this inspection? 23 I don't -- I don't recall that Α. 24 there was any other follow-up data. 2.5 Okay. And so that's number 11. O.

```
Page 167
    You also found an issue with, I guess,
1
    refrigeration, number 9?
2.
3
             Α.
                  Yes.
             Q. Was that fixed to your
4
5
    satisfaction?
             A. Yes, I believe so.
6
7
                  Go to page 2378, please. This is
    an inspection of a Giant Eagle store 1225 on
8
9
    2/16 of '11 performed by you; am I correct?
10
             Α.
                  Yes.
11
                  MR. APPEL: One quick question,
12
    since you're at a natural breaking point, when
13
    do you want to take lunch?
                  MR. BARNES: 12:30. Is that okay?
14
15
                  MR. APPEL: That will work.
16
                  MR. BARNES: Okay. How about you,
17
    Mr. Edwards, can you go to 12:30?
18
                  THE WITNESS: Yep.
19
                  MR. BARNES: All right. Thank
20
    you.
21
    BY MR. BARNES:
22
                  Is this a clean inspection of a
23
    Giant Eagle Pharmacy 1225 in February of 2011?
24
             Α.
                  I believe, yes, it appears so.
25
    There was no written warning.
```

Page 173 hadn't seen such a report before? 1 2. Α. Correct. 3 Did you ask for any follow-up on Q. that? 4 5 Α. I don't recall. Okay. For improper dispensing on 6 Ο. 7 page 3 you note that a certain prescription was filled for furosemide? 8 9 Α. Furosemide. 10 Ο. Furosemide. And then it was 11 refilled three days later, filled too early. 12 You say, unacceptable for a technician to 13 bypass DUR warning without informing the 14 pharmacist. 15 So there you found an instance 16 where a tech had overridden the DUR and you're 17 telling the Giant Eagle store, don't allow that 18 to happen? 19 Α. Right. 20 And there's a response on page Q. 21 Was that a satisfactory response as far 22 as you were concerned? 23 I believe so. Α. 24 Okay. And then apparently, item Q. 25 number 26, you wanted follow-up because you

Page 174 couldn't find a hard copy of the prescription, 1 2. and Giant Eagle's response was it was found after the prescription and misfiled and they 3 enclosed a copy of it. So was that a 4 5 satisfactory response? 6 Α. Yes. 7 Page 9864 is another inspection, 0. this time in Trumbull County. It looks like --8 9 I can't tell if it's full or partial. Can you 10 tell us what the nature and purpose of this 11 inspection was? 12 Α. Looks like I was conducting an 13 investigation and I was requesting hard copy 14 prescriptions and signature logs to aid in the 15 investigation. 16 So you went to the store and you 17 recorded the fact that you were taking these records out of the store? 18 19 Α. Correct. 20 All right. This was an Q. 21 investigation that had something to do with 2.2 some other individuals or entities? 23 Α. Right. 24 Okay. And then the last one we'll O.

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com
888-391-3376

cover before lunch is the next one, 2589.

2.5

Page 192 I believe so. I don't recall an 1 Α. 2. instance where that wasn't the case. 3 No license was ever suspended or Ο. revoked by the Board for any Giant Eagle 4 5 pharmacy, as far as you know? 6 Α. As far as I know, no. 7 Did the Giant Eagle Pharmacies 0. comply with the Ohio security requirements at 8 all times? 9 As far as I know. 10 Α. 11 Did Giant Eagle stores, in fact, Ο. 12 have in some instances more or better controls 13 than were required by the Board? 14 Α. Yes. 15 Ο. Is it true that Giant Eagle was 16 never cited or disciplined by the Board for 17 failing to meet any of their requirements? 18 You're speaking specifically to Α. Lake and Trumbull? 19 20 Yes. Q. 21 To my knowledge, no, they were Α. 2.2 not. We talked about staffing before. 23 0. From your involvement with the inspections, 24 25 were the Giant Eagle pharmacists educated,

Page 193 1 hard-working pharmacists who were attempting to 2. do the best job they could? As far as I could tell. 3 Α. Did they adequately train and 4 5 supervise pharmacy techs and others working in the pharmacy? 6 7 If I could back up saying with the exception of Mr. Heppner, the fact that --8 9 THE REPORTER: You're trailing 10 off. I can't hear you. Please repeat. 11 THE WITNESS: Sorry. 12 I said, with the exception of 13 Mr. Heppner, that's the only pharmacist I could 14 recall who didn't meet -- didn't meet our standards and was --15 16 BY MR. BARNES: 17 Q. Was disciplinary action taken 18 against him? 19 I don't recall if -- again, I Α. 20 would need to see more documents to see if 21 there was a citation issued or requested. 2.2 O. Okay. Is it a fair statement that 23 Giant Eagle Pharmacies complied with the manner 24 of processing prescription requirements including performing drug utilization reviews 25

Page 194 1 at all times? 2. Α. At all times that I'm aware. Okay. In any of your inspections, 3 Q. the 26 that you did, did you ever see any 4 5 evidence that Giant Eagle Pharmacies were filling illegitimate opioid prescriptions? 6 7 Α. No --MR. CIACCIO: Objection to form. 8 9 Α. -- not that I --THE REPORTER: Is that Joe? I'm 10 11 sorry. Is that Joe? 12 MR. CIACCIO: Yes. I'm sorry. 1.3 Joe Ciaccio. 14 THE REPORTER: Thank you. 15 MR. BARNES: Did you get his 16 answer, Patti? 17 THE REPORTER: Yes. 18 MR. BARNES: Thank you. 19 BY MR. BARNES: 20 Q. At any time when you were at the 21 Lake County Narcotics Agency or at the Ohio 22 Board since 2008, to your knowledge, were Giant Eagle Pharmacies ever the subject of criminal 23 24 investigations or investigations related to 25 diversionary behavior in the pharmacies?

Page 195

- A. You're talking about the pharmacy itself, or are you talking about the employees of the pharmacy?
- Q. Let's start with the pharmacy itself. Pharmacies.
- A. No, not to my knowledge. The actual pharmacy itself, we did not take disciplinary action against the terminal distributor, to my knowledge.
- Q. Yeah. Okay. My question was a little different. In your years with the LCNA and now with the Board, was Giant Eagle ever the subject of criminal or civil investigation because of alleged diversion of controlled substances?
- A. You're referring to Giant Eagle, the corporation itself?
 - Q. Yes.
 - A. No.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

- Q. Okay. As far as you're concerned, Mr. Edwards, were the Giant Eagle Pharmacies that you inspected over the years 2009 to 2019 in Lake and Trumbull Counties, were those pharmacies operating lawfully at all times?
 - A. As far as I knew.

Page 196

- Q. As far as you knew, Mr. Edwards, from 2009 through 2000 (sic), your time with the Board, were the Giant Eagle Pharmacies operating in a manner that was contributing in any way to the diversion of prescription opioids in Lake or Trumbull Counties?
 - A. Not as far as I knew.
- Q. Did you ever see any evidence that Giant Eagle or its pharmacists were knowingly filling prescriptions that were invalid or not for a legitimate medical purpose?
 - A. Not that I recall.
- Q. Were Giant Eagle and its pharmacists actively assisting law enforcement with anti-diversion efforts from the time you started at LCNA until now?
 - A. Yes. With me, yes.
- Q. With respect to the other pharmacy defendants, CVS, Rite-Aid, Walmart, and Walgreens, would your answers be the same with respect to those defendants, their stores meeting the security requirement imposed by the Board of Pharmacy?
 - MR. CIACCIO: Objection. Form. Joe.
 - A. I don't recall any instances where

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5